SENT VIA EMAIL : (RedevelopmentAdministration@dof.ca.gov)

January 12, 2015

Mr. Derk Symons
California Department of Finance

Re: Report of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) for ROPS 14-15B cycle

Dear Derk:

Pursuant to H\&S Code §34183(e), I am submitting the property tax distribution report for the period January 1, 2015 thru June 30, 2015 for the RPTTF for ROPS 14-15B cycle.

If you have any questions, please call Rosemary Bettencourt at (707) 784-6413.
Sincerely,


Simona Padilla-Scholtens, CPA
Auditor-Controller
Cc: Chris Hill, Department of Finance
Birgitta Corsello, CAO
Nancy Huston, Assistant CAO
Phyllis Taynton, Assistant Auditor-Controller

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015
ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15
County : solano
Fairfield RDA ${ }^{(90,95}$
Vallejo RDA (87, 91,

|  | Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA): | Countywide Totals | Dixon RDA (Fd 146) | Fairfield RDA (90, 95, $96,97,148)$ | Rio Vista RDA (Fd 88) | Suisun RDA (89, 147) | Vacaville RDA $(100,141)$ | Vallejo RDA (87, 91, $93,92,98,143)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | RPTTF Deposits - Entering the deposits by source is optional. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Secured \& Unsecured Property Tax Increment (T) | 47,292,734 | 1,274,728 | 19,513,978 | 492,850 | 6,617,580 | 17,566,649 | 1,826,949 |
| 3 | Supplemental \& Unitary Property $\boldsymbol{T}$ | 1,604,257 | 31,127 | 581,164 | 9,719 | 315,327 | 545,052 | 121,867 |
| 4 | Interest Earrings/Other | 24,320 | 865 | 9,663 | 235 | 3,480 | 9,147 | 930 |
| 5 | Penaly Assessments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5) | 48,921,310 | 1,306,719 | 20,104,805 | 502,803 | 6,936,387 | 18,120,849 | 1,949,746 |
| 7 | Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Administrative Costs and Passthroughs | 48,921,310 | 1,306,719 | 20,104,805 | 502,803 | 6,936,387 | 18,120,849 | 1,949,746 |
| 8 | RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Heath and Safety Code (H\&S) Section 34183. Note that the following distributions are not necessary listed in the priority order required by H\&S 34183. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Administrative Distributions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Administrative Fees to CAC | 124,535 | 12,607 | 34,026 | 5,596 | 22,403 | 31,899 | 18,004 |
|  | Legal Fees | 115 | - | 60 |  |  |  | 55 |
| 11 | SB 2557 Administration Fees |  | - | . |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12) | 131,937 | 12,607 | 34,086 | 12,884 | 22,403 | 31,899 | 18,059 |
| 14 | Passthrough Distributions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | City Passthrough Payments | 611,022 | - | 115,001 | - | 179,133 | 302,517 | 14,372 |
| 16 | County Passtrough Payments | 8,268,400 | 282,461 | 2,104,063 | 102,524 | 1,671,377 | 3,842,284 | 265,692 |
| 17 | Special District Passthrough Payments | 1,017,769 | 15,837 | 190,366 | - | 131,445 | 634,057 | 46,064 |
| 18 | K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion | 304,834 | 39,846 | 71,491 | 15,060 |  | 170,560 | 7,876 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{K}-12$ School Pasthrough Payments - Facilities Portion | 327,272 |  | 93,615 | - |  | 223,343 | 10,314 |
| 20 | Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion | 24,373 | 5,006 | 8,772 | 2,444 | - | 3,656 | 4,495 |
| 21 | Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion | 289,678 | . | 79,319 |  | 64,789 | 144,813 | 758 |
| 22 | County Office of Education - Tax Portion | 10,902 | - | 2,143 |  |  | 5,394 | 3,364 |
| 23 | County Office of Education - Facilities Portion | 72,424 | - | 9,138 |  | 39,576 | 22,996 | 715 |
| 24 | Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) | 431,777 |  | 116,590 |  | 30,023 | 269,245 | 15,918 |
| 25 | Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24) | 11,358,453 | 343,149 | 2,790,499 | 120,028 | 2,116,343 | 5,618,865 | 369,568 |
| 26 | Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25) | 11,490,390 | 355,756 | 2,824,585 | 132,912 | 2,138,746 | 5,650,764 | 387,627 |
| 27 | Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOS) (line 6-26) | 37,430,920 | 950,963 | 17,280,220 | 369,892 | 4,797,642 | 12,470,085 | 1,562,118 |
|  |  <br>  note that the following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA actuals reports and distributed to the affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Non-Admin EOs | 10,382,614 | 72,335 | 356,948 | - | 2,804,051 | 6,098,918 | 1,050,362 |
| 30 | Admin EOs | 714,698 | 109,732 | 125,000 | 46,700 | 125,000 | 183,266 | 125,000 |
| 31 | Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number. | $(3,980,997)$ |  | $(23,550)$ | $(46,700)$ | $(79,664)$ | $(3,729,354)$ | (101,729) |
| 32 | Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | LMHF |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 34 | OFA |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| 35 | Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34) | 7,116,315 | 182,067 | 458,398 | . | 2,849,387 | 2,552,830 | 1,073,633 |
| 36 | CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the non-admin distributions and then apply the balances to the admin distributions if necessary. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Non-Admin EOs | 6,448,317 | 72,335 | 333,398 | - | 2,724,387 | 2,369,564 | 948,633 |
| 38 | Admin EOs | 667,998 | 109,732 | 125,000 | - | 125,000 | 183,266 | 125,000 |
| 39 | Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOS (sum of lines 37 and 38) | 7,116,315 | 182,067 | 458,398 |  | 2,849,387 | 2,552,830 | 1,073,633 |
|  | Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EO was alloceted to the SA Please explain all |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Net ROPS 14-15B and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27-39) | 30,314,606 | 768,896 | 16,821,822 | 369,892 | 1,948,255 | 9,917,255 | 488,486 |
|  |  should be equal to or less than the amounts on 33 and 34 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | LMIHF |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 44 | OFA | - | . |  | - |  | . |  |
| 45 | Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44) |  | . | . | . | . | . |  |

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015
ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15
County : solano
Line \# Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA): $\qquad$ Fairfield RDA $(90,95$,
Vallejo RDA (87, 91,


Rio Vista RDA (Fd 88) Suisun RDA (89, 147) Vacaville RDA ( 100, Total ROPS 14-15B Only RPTTF Balance Available fo
witholding residuals paid to the ATES as shown on line 30,314,606 768,896 16,821,822 369,892 1,948,255 9,917,255 488,486
$\qquad$ 16,821,822 36,802
7. 1 ( math. Positive or negative amounts shown on

| 6,974,556 | 257,516 | 3,552,649 | 72,938 | 295,678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,357,020 |  | 3,052,480 | 38,560 |  |
| 1,281,097 | 46,436 | 564,471 | 22,026 | 41,042 |
| 10,089,453 | 204,009 | 5,139,813 | 113,422 | 995,286 |
| 941,247 | 25,626 | 505,644 | 18,403 | 61,131 |
| 667,766 | 18,545 | 351,189 | 676 | 37,342 |
| 7,003,468 | 216,764 | 3,655,575 | 103,867 | 517,776 |
| 5,914,620 | 180,284 | 3,097,466 | 88,964 | 438,726 |
| 681,126 | 22,647 | 346,467 | ,435 | 49,074 |
| 407,722 | 13,833 | 211,642 | 468 | 9,976 |
| 30,314,606 | 768,896 | 16,821,822 | 369,892 | 1,948,255 |
| 18,701,933 | 464,944 | 9,652,222 | 236,368 | 1,611,535 |
| 61.7\% | 60.5\% | 57.4\% | 63.9\% | 82. |

Special Districts
K-12 Schools
Community Colleges
County Office of Education
County Office of Education
Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57) ERAF-K-12 ERAF - Community Colleges
Total RPTTF Distributions to ATES (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual
balance as shown on ine 46 .
58
59
Total Residual Distributions to K -14 Schools (sum of lines $51: 54$ ):
Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools
61 Comments:
2.684.845

207,051
57356 58,

| 57,566 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $3,496,522$ | 3,557 <br> 140,400 |


| 3,496,522316,648 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


| 36,648 | 13,794 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 252,008 | 8,006 |
| 2386,015 | 12, |


| $8,0,006$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $2,386,615$ | 122,871 |
| $2,005,457$ | 103,722 |


| $2,005,457$ | 103,722 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 236,617 | 11,886 |


| 407,722 | 13,833 | 211,642 | 468 | 29,976 | 144,541 | 7,262 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30,314,606 | 768,896 | 16,821,822 | 369,892 | 1,948,255 | 9,917,255 | 488,486 |
| 18,701,933 | 464,944 | 9,652,222 | 236,368 | 1,611,535 | 6,451,793 | 285,071 |
| 61.7\% |  |  | 63.9\% | 82.7\% | 65.1\% | 58.4\% |

