

Minutes For August 27, 2002

The Solano County Board of Supervisors met in regular session on this day at 8:32 a.m. The meeting was called to order by Chairman Carroll. All members were present.

CLOSED SESSION: The Board moved into Closed Session at 8:33 a.m. to discuss Meet and Confer: All Bargaining Units with Yolanda Irigon, Agency Negotiator. The Board moved out of Closed Session at 9:22 a.m.

The Solano County Board of Supervisors reconvened on this day at 9:26 a.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance and a moment of silence.

ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR

APPEARANCES BY MARGI STERN, ROSE LOVEALL-SALE AND JUDY NEAL RE RECONSIDERATION OF THE DECISION ON THE PLEASANTS VALLEY ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Margi Stern, Pleasants Valley Neighborhood Association, requested the Board to reopen the question and discussion of widening Pleasants Valley Road. Ms. Stern voiced concern with increased speeds and dangers where the road has been widened, and would like to keep this road rural and safe.

Rose Loveall-Sale, Pleasants Valley Neighborhood Association, urged the Board to reopen discussions on the Pleasants Valley Road widening project. Ms. Loveall-Sale commended the Board and the Director of Transportation Charlie Jones for the work that has been done, but feels there are concerns that remain.

Judy Neal, Pleasants Valley Neighborhood Association, noted there are many unresolved issues and concerns regarding Pleasants Valley Road, requested the Board reopen discussions on this project, showed photos of portions of Pleasants Valley Road and other rural County roads that have the narrow driving lanes, and questioned why Pleasants Valley Road is being treated so differently.

APPEARANCE BY CATHY ANN HEWITT RE VARIOUS ISSUES

Cathy Ann Hewitt, Benicia, discussed the quality of life in California, detrimental aspects deteriorating the quality of life, a free pamphlet "Adventures In Parenting," other free health care pamphlets, and that consideration be given for a new director of the Prop 10 Commission that feels early childhood education and nursery schools are important. Ms. Hewitt also noted September 8, 2002 is the deadline for public comment on air quality relative to local refineries, and feels County residents should insist on the highest quality of standards.

APPEARANCE BY DONALD TIPTON RE VARIOUS ISSUES

Donald Tipton, Vallejo, voiced his concerns regarding the Board of Supervisors \$5,000 discretionary fund account, as noted in the Grand Jury Report, noted options on how the funds could have been spent, feels this fund should be fully audited and closed. Mr. Tipton voiced concern with a survey on the lighting districts not being done, with the LNG Plant proposal on Mare Island, and with the Closed Session descriptions.

APPEARANCE BY DONNA NETH RE RECONSIDERATION OF THE DECISION ON THE PLEASANTS VALLEY ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Donna Neth, Pleasants Valley Neighborhood Association, voiced concern with high speeds on Pleasants Valley Road, with the proposed widening of the road, with drainage problems that will worsen if the road is widened, and requested the road not be widened.

STATE FAIR AWARDS FOR COUNTY EXHIBIT

Chairman Carroll discussed the gold ribbon and four blue award ribbons that were received for the Solano County Exhibit at the State Fair. County Administrator Michael Johnson showed a brief video of the exhibit, and recognized the exhibit builder volunteers Bob Meador, John Vasquez, Chuck Pratt, and the numerous other volunteers.

PLEASANTS VALLEY ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT DECISION TO BE SCHEDULED FOR POSSIBLE RECONSIDERATION ON OCTOBER 1, 2002

On motion of Supervisor Thomson and seconded by Chairman Carroll, the Board acted to direct staff to bring back the issue of Pleasants Valley Road for Board determination of reconsideration of the Board action. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On motion of Supervisor Silva and seconded by Supervisor Kondylis, the Board acted to approve the submitted Agenda, incorporated herein by reference. So ordered by a vote of 4-0; Chairman Carroll excused.

NOTE: The following items were removed from the Agenda later in the meeting due to time constraints and rescheduled for the September 3, 2002 Board Meeting.

(Item 24A) Consider recommendations outlined in the staff report regarding reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Program, removed from the Agenda.

(Item 24B) Receive a report regarding Health and Social Services

Substance Abuse Programs and revenue for FY2001/02 and FY2002/03; consider departmental recommendation to fill services gaps in treatment services as identified through the Substance Abuse Redesign Project, removed from the Agenda.

(Item 26 D) Approve the bylaws for the Public Authority Advisory Committee, removed from the Agenda.

CONSENT CALENDAR

On motion of Supervisor Silva and seconded by Supervisor Thomson, the Board acted to approve the following Consent Calendar items by a vote of 4-0; Chairman Carroll excused.

(Item 13) AGREEMENT RENEWAL WITH SUNGARD BI-TECH INCORPORATED RE INTEGRATED FUND ACCOUNTING SYSTEM (IFAS) MAINTENANCE, as outlined in the Agenda Submittal from the Chief Information Officer dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, approved and Auditor-Controller authorized to execute all future SunGard Bi-Tech support agreements.

(Item 14) RESOLUTION NO. 2002-167 SETTING THE RATES OF AND LEVYING COUNTY AND DISTRICT TAXES FOR THE 2002-2003 FISCAL YEAR, adopted. (see Resolution Book)

(Item 15) REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS RE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF ELECTRONIC SIGN AT THE SOLANO COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS, as outlined in the Agenda Submittal from the Solano County Fair dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, approved.

(Item 16) APPROPRIATION TRANSFER IN THE AMOUNT OF \$15,433 FROM ASSET FORFEITURE FUND CONTINGENCIES RE PURCHASE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT PROTECTION EQUIPMENT , as outlined in the Agenda Submittal from the District Attorney dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, approved.

(Item 17) AGREEMENT WITH PRINTING NETWORKING MAILING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RE BULK MAILING SERVICES, as outlined in the Agenda Submittal from the Department of Child Support Services dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, approved and Chairman authorized to sign said contract on behalf of Solano County.

ORDERS

(Item 19) APPOINTMENTS TO THE CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE FOR THE SOLANO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX EXPENDITURE PLAN, CONTINUED TO SEPTEMBER 10, 2002

The Board was provided with an Agenda Submittal from the County Administrator Michael Johnson dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding a request from the Solano Transportation Improvement Authority to appoint two Solano County residents to serve on the Citizens Oversight Committee for the Solano County Transportation Sales Tax Expenditure Plan.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kromm regarding the areas to be represented by these people, Supervisor Silva discussed the responsibilities of the Citizens Oversight Board and noted these positions are for representation of the unincorporated area of the County.

There was a brief discussion regarding ways to recruit interested applicants for this committee.

The Board directed staff to issue a press release requesting any interested parties to contact the Clerk of the Board for an application. Further consideration of the applications will be conducted on September 10, 2002.

(Item 20) BOARD ENDORSES PROPOSED INTERIM MODEL REGARDING CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS BY CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES (CSAC) ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE POLICY COMMITTEE

County Administrator Michael Johnson reviewed the information contained in the Agenda Submittal from his office dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding the development of an interim model relative to a chief probation officer. Mr. Johnson highlighted a brief background, the relationship between the County, the Probation Department and the Courts, the model including the appointment, evaluation, removal of, and the share of liability.

Supervisor Silva discussed the success of the model, which has been used in Solano County, and agrees with the County having some say in the responsibility of this position.

Supervisor Kromm noted sitting on a Statewide Board of Corrections Workforce Committee that included several Chief Probation Officers that broadly agreed with the model, and suggested endorsement of the model.

On motion of Supervisor Kromm and seconded by Supervisor Thomson, the Board acted to support the Probation Services Task Force recommendation. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 21) PRESENTATION ON THE NEW SOLANO COUNTY WEB SITE, CONTINUED TO A FUTURE MEETING

The Board was provided with an Agenda Submittal from the Chief Information Officer dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding the new County Web Site.

Chief Information Officer Ken Phillips began a brief overview, technical difficulties were encountered, and County Administrator Michael Johnson suggested rescheduling the matter.

(Item 22) AUDIT REPORT OF THE INMATE WELFARE FUND, ACCEPTED

Tess Lapira, Internal Audit Manager of the Auditor-Controllers Office reviewed the information contained in the Agenda Submittal from her office dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding the internal audit report of the Inmate Welfare Fund for fiscal year ended June 30, 2001.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kromm regarding the purpose of this fund, and reportable conditions, Sheriff Gary Stanton noted the money in the fund could only be used to benefit the inmates and that staff is addressing the reportable conditions.

Mike Medvedoff, Sheriff's Office, noted one of the basic remedies was to update the departmental policies and procedures, that have been approved and adopted, and the findings are being addressed through the updated policies and procedures.

On motion of Supervisor Kromm and seconded by Supervisor Kondylis, the Board acted to accept the audit report of the Inmate Welfare Fund. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 23A) PRESENTATION ON TOUCHSCREEN VOTING SYSTEMS BY LOU DEDIER, DIRECTOR OF VOTING SYSTEMS, CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE, RECEIVED

The Board was provided with an Agenda Submittal from the Registrar of Voters dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding receiving a presentation on the Touchscreen Voting Systems.

Lou Dedier, Director of Voting Systems in the California Secretary of State's Office, gave a brief overview of the touchscreen system certification, the Secretary of States roll in the certification process. Mr. Dedier noted California is the hardest state for a voting system to be certified in, acceptance testing by the State after installation, if a vendor fails the acceptance testing they run the risk of decertification in the State, there are currently 6 certified vendors in the State, and basic differences in the system is the front end software. The systems are secure and many benefits were noted.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Silva regarding the qualified vendors that bid, Mr. Dedier reviewed the list of vendors and noted the certification for Avante International Technology is pending, the certification for Voting Technologies International is on hold, and the remaining vendors are fully certified. The 2 vendors in question should be certified in October.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kromm regarding the status of other counties that are in the process, and distribution of funding, Mr. Dedier noted many counties are at about the same point, Riverside and Plumas Counties have completed implementation. The counties are moving very quickly to take advantage of the Prop 41 money. Applications for funding will be reviewed on September 16th, the county must choose a certified vendor when the money is given, and the county must select a vendor they are comfortable with.

There was a brief discussion on the allocation formula, submitting and

resubmitting of applications.

(Item 23B) REPORT ON THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS RE REPLACEMENT VOTING SYSTEM, RECEIVED

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DIEBOLD ELECTION SYSTEMS RE REPLACEMENT OF COUNTY VOTING SYSTEM, SUBJECT OF FINAL APPROVAL BY THE BOARD

RESOLUTION NO. 2002-168 APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR FUNDS UNDER THE VOTING MODERNIZATION BOND ACT OF 2002 SOLANO COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS PURCHASE OF DRE VOTING SYSTEM, ADOPTED

The Board was provided with an Agenda Submittal from the Registrar of Voters dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding receiving a presentation on the Touchscreen Voting Systems.

Registrar of Voters Laura Winslow gave a brief history of the process used for the selection of the vendor, and noted the importance of moving forward in the selection process.

Deborah Sieller, Diebold Election Systems, provided the Board with a handout outlining the company, services, and products, incorporated herein by reference. Ms. Sieller reviewed a brief history of the company, international elections using Diebold equipment, the company having 14 accounts in California, the stringent requirements in California, elections done in Orange and Alameda Counties with Diebold equipment, successful interface with Dims voter files with the Diebold software, and discussed the optical scan system that accompanies the Touchscreen Voting System. A brief demonstration of the equipment was given outlining the advantages to electronic voting with this system. Ms. Sieller noted that Diebold was the first vendor to be certified in the State.

Responding to questions by Supervisor Silva regarding tabulating votes, with poll worker reconciliation, and where the equipment is made and assembled, Ms. Sieller outlined several ways tabulating would be done electronically, with final tabulation either being taken to the Registrar's office or it can be transmitted over phone lines, reconciliation is by the touchscreen machine that counts the ballots and the number of votes cast. The Diebold equipment is manufactured in house in the United States.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kondylis regarding absentee ballots, Ms. Sieller noted absentees could be handled several ways, with alternatives such as early voting or with use of an optical scan paper ballot.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kromm regarding the evaluation team, Ms. Winslow noted the evaluation team consisted of herself, 2 of her staff, Management Analyst Charlene Ping from the County Administrator's Office, Carolyn Petersen from ACS, and Susan Harms from Information Services. Ms. Winslow outlined the rating process, and discussed remote reporting, multiple ballot types on each unit.

Supervisor Silva noted the importance of this decision, voiced concern with the

use of the equipment by people that are not familiar with computers, the Board not viewing the other vendors equipment, cost comparisons of several of the vendors, and is not comfortable with moving forward at this time.

Ms. Winslow noted detail of the proposal review, the top two vendors clearly rated over and above the other vendors, either vendor is acceptable, the recommendation for Diebold was due to the cost that was \$900,000 less. Ms. Winslow outlined the extensive voter education process that is planned.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Silva regarding which of the top 4 vendors are doing business in California, Ms. Winslow noted that of the top 4 Unilect is not doing touchscreen business in California.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Thomson regarding rating differences between Diebold and Sequoia, Ms. Winslow discussed the differences regarding reading of absentee ballots, printing of ballots, and the changes that Diebold has made since the initial presentation of their system. Supervisor Kromm noted comfortable familiarity with the touchscreen technology, glad to see how the raters were put together, and voiced concern that if decisions are delayed there is the possibility that Diebold may not be able to handle our business.

Supervisor Silva feels Diebold should be able to keep up with the demand, and would like to see the equipment of the top three or four for comparisons.

Ms. Winslow noted demonstrations can be setup for the Board, and noted how critical it is for the vendor of choice to be able to fully interface with our current registration system. Ms. Winslow feels the top two vendors could meet the County needs, but has grave reservations with the ability of some of the other companies to interface with our current voter registration system.

Donald Tipton, Vallejo, thought there would be a hands on demonstration of all the voting machines, and questioned regarding comparing the two systems relative to the time it takes to vote, of the setup and pick up costs of the equipment at polling places, ensure people in the polling place are able to vote at closing, for a trial run at no cost, with privacy in the voting booth, with the total costs, cost of maintenance and programming, and ensure that this is a fixed system that can not be tampered with.

Responding to questions posed by Mr. Tipton, Ms. Winslow clarified costs of the proposals, internal costs, and other adjustments for the final system. Addressing privacy Ms. Winslow noted the voting screen is adjustable and should be flat at the polling place, and that other voters are not allowed to stand directly behind the person in the voting booth.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Silva regarding contractual requirements, and when the payment would be due, Ms. Winslow noted the need to have the contract finalized by the end of December, and that the payment schedule will be negotiated as part of the contract.

Supervisor Kromm agrees that withholding a large portion of payment until we are sure the system works, and payment terms should be tied to "does it work", Ms. Winslow noted there will be an election in 2003, and plans to use the system for that election, and that financing can be spread over two fiscal years.

Responding to concerns voiced by Supervisor Silva regarding no contract being available for review, and more information on the points to be negotiated, County Counsel Dennis Bunting noted the Board will have final approval of any contract that is negotiated for a new voting system, and discussed how extensive this type of contract will be.

Ms. Winslow requested approval to move into contract negotiations with the vendor, then to come back to the Board with the final contract.

Supervisor Kromm agreed with moving forward, but holding back the Appropriation Transfer until some review is done.

Supervisor Silva suggested periodic information through a memorandum on the status of the negotiations and the points being considered.

County Administrator Michael Johnson noted the County does want to ensure that we have the full funds available to back the contract, which can be delayed until the final contract is approved. Mr. Johnson suggested approving the selection of Diebold subject to successful negotiations of a contract, then a subsequent appropriation by the Board.

On motion of Supervisor Kromm and seconded by Supervisor Kondylis, the Board acted to select Diebold Election Systems to replace the County Voting System, to authorize the Registrar of Voters to enter into contract negotiations with Diebold subject to Board approval, and to adopt Resolution No. 2002-168 Approving the Application for Funds Under the Voting Modernization Bond Act of 2002, Solano County Registrar of Voters Purchase of DRE Voting System. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 25) WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT STRATEGIC FIVE YEAR PLAN –
THIRD YEAR LOCAL PLAN MODIFICATION, APPROVED

The Board was provided with an Agenda Submittal from Robert Bloom, Workforce Investment Board, dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference, regarding the Third Year Modification to the Workforce Investment Act Strategic Five Year Plan.

On motion of Supervisor Kondylis and seconded by Supervisor Silva the Board acted to adopt the modification. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 27) REPORT REGARDING SOLANO COUNTY'S LOCAL BIOSOLIDS
PROGRAM, RECEIVED

LANGUAGE TO INSTITUTE A MORATORIUM ON THE SPREADING CLASS "B"
BIOSOLIDS TO BE BROUGHT BACK

Dennis Kalson, Department of Environmental Management, reviewed the information contained in the Agenda Submittal from his department dated August 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference. Mr. Kalson also reviewed a visual presentation, a hard copy is incorporated herein by reference, outlining the biosolids program in the County.

Supervisor Kromm noted most Central Valley, San Joaquin Valley, and Salinas Valley counties banned dumping Class "B" biosolids, and Mr. Kalson responded noting reasons including the bad image associated with Class "B"

waste.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kondylis regarding dumping of local sludge, Mr. Kalson noted many local agencies take their biosolids to a local landfill, and the City of Vallejo does use land application in Sonoma County on land that is agency owned.

Lauren Fondahl, United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 9, outlined the current compliance activities at the EPA regional level, coordination with the County on tracking biosolids compliance at the source and application locations, testing requirements and parameters, pathogen reduction processes, the question of setbacks, addressing the issues raised in the National Academy of Science study, and the tracking of health complaints. Ms. Fondahl feels the County Ordinance does serve a good purpose, and the ordinance contains provisions for review of each site, which could be enhanced.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kondylis regarding spreading of biosolids in Riverside County, Ms. Fondahl noted Class "B" biosolids that are spread near a dairy are not as noticeable since they have the same types of pathogens. Spreading Class "A" closer to more urban areas is more prudent, and spread Class "B" in rural areas.

There was a brief discussion regarding additional processing to produce a better product that does not smell, and setbacks in Riverside County.

Johnny Gonzales, State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), reviewed the information contained in a handout on the SWRCB perspective of biosolids, incorporated herein by reference, outlining the Statewide Biosolids Program, General Waste Discharge Requirements, Water Quality Order No. 2000-10 Regulations, Biosolids Regulatory Control Mechanism Options, and the National Biosolids Program. Mr. Gonzales noted support for county regulations.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kondylis regarding validating the science, Mr. Gonzales feels that there needs to be a validation of the risk assessments used, and additional studies and upgraded technologies need to be used.

Dr. Ian Pepper, Director of the National Science Foundation Water Quality Foundation, also one of the authors to the National Academy of Science Report (the Report), discussed the misinformation regarding the Report, the purpose of the report, there is no documented scientific evidence of adverse health effects from biosolids, the greatest concern of the committee was bio-aerosols, the differences between biosolids and sewage sludge, recommendations of the Report, detrimental inaccurate press information, current stringent bio-aerosol research and notable results, continuing testing, and biosolids research done in Solano County.

The following people spoke in favor of continuing the spreading and use of biosolids: Ian Anderson, Dick Emigh, a letter presented from Duncan McCormack III, Dr. Mark Grey from Synagro West, and Bill Petersen.

The following people spoke in opposition of continuing the spreading and use of biosolids: Pat Stokes, Roderick Dupont, Rio Vista Mayor Marci Coglianese who also recommended suspension of application of biosolids until further research is done.

Dr. Paul Chrestowski, CPF Associates Inc., discussed the criteria to do

epidemeology studies, and the ever present soil born bacteria.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Thomson relative to investigating necropsy reports, Dr. Chrestowski encourages working with veterinarians and veterinarian schools to conduct investigations.

Ron Matheson, Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District (VSFCD), explained the district operation of the land application of biosolids over the last 24 years on 1,500 acres of district owned property.

Responding to questions posed by Supervisor Kondylis regarding why communities treat to level A or B, Mr. Matheson noted Class "A" is typically more expensive to produce, and Class "B" is the minimum level allowed by the EPA. Communities' decision on which level of processing the sludge is generally based on where the product is going to be disposed of. Mr. Matheson noted the benefits to the farmers using biosolids, and the cost savings to sanitation districts.

Additional speakers in opposition to continued application of biosolids: Albert Medvitz who also presented two studies refuting information presented in the Report and the absence of science, Robert West, Fred Kogler, Julie Kelly, Dr. Chloe Boeltcher, Jonathan Wisnom, Robert Cattey, George Durbala, Alan Kernoff, and Leila Mazo.

Additional Speakers in favor of continuing the use of biosolids: Richard Hamilton.

Dan Foster, Synagro, discussed the groundwater monitoring being done in the areas where biosolids are being spread, and that no effects have not been detected to the groundwater.

There was a brief discussion related to the ecological assessment article and the Report.

Chairman Carroll noted the many stresses on the people in the Rio Vista area, and the medical effects due to stress, and feels that as long as the questions are there, the County should not continue to allow the spreading of biosolids until the answers to those questions are available.

Dr. Pepper noted the need for more scientific study and data to judge these issues.

Supervisor Kondylis noted uncertainty with the use of biosolids, feels people should not have to live with the stench of the biosolids, some EPA standards are set of reasons other than health and safety, the report from Mayor Conglinese of Rio Vista outlined the lack of information on populations exposure to biosolids, updated studies need to be done, applications should be 3 to 6 miles away from populated urban type areas, putting a moratorium on until more information is available, and bring this back for a review of the ordinance.

Supervisor Thomson noted he is not convinced biosolids are safe, there is conflicting opinions, he does support for agriculture, the need to have safe agriculture, and feels applications should be allowed through this season that closes October 15, 2002. Supervisor Thomson suggested putting a one year moratorium on spreading the biosolids and allowing Synagro and others who feel it is so safe an opportunity to prove in fact biosolids are safe. At the conclusion of the year hopefully the information will be available for the Board

to make a reasonable informed decision on this issue.

Supervisor Kromm feels Class "A" biosolids are safe, that only the Class "B" biosolids should be banned.

County Administrator Michael Johnson requested clarification if the intention is to direct staff to prepare a report to be agendized for formal action. The report could be brought back with the moratorium for next year.

Supervisor Silva discussed waste, recycling, voiced concern with allegations that people have died from biosolids and would like the County Health Officer to investigate, and requested anyone with documentation relating to flesh eating diseases to bring it to the Health Officer.

Supervisor Kondylis feels that while the moratorium is in place something has to be done about odors even if the biosolids are found to be safe.

On motion of Supervisor Thomson and seconded by Chairman Carroll, the Board acted to direct staff to prepare the language for Board approval that will allow this season of spreading to be completed, to institute a moratorium on the spreading Class "B" biosolids for one year, and requesting Synagro and benefiting parties within the next year to provide factual data that biosolids are safe. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 26A) SCOTT D. GARRISON APPOINTED TO THE SOLANO COUNTY PARK AND RECREATION COMMISSION

On motion of Supervisor Silva and seconded by Supervisor Thomson, the Board acted to appoint Scott D. Garrison to the Solano County Park and Recreation Commission for an indefinite term. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 26B) APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP OF ROBIN GRAFFAM TO THE CHILDREN'S NETWORK COUNCIL ACCEPTED

On motion of Supervisor Silva and seconded by Supervisor Thomson, the Board acted accept the application for membership of Robin Graffam to the Children's Network Council. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

(Item 26C) APPOINTMENTS OF MR. FADI HALABI, MR. JAYE THOMPSON, AND MR. ARMOND PHILLIPS ON THE WORKFORCE INVESTMENT BOARD OF SOLANO COUNTY, RATIFIED

On motion of Supervisor Silva and seconded by Supervisor Thomson, the Board acted to Ratify the appointments of Mr. Fadi Halabi, Mr. Jaye Thompson, and Mr. Armond Phillips as representatives on the Workforce Investment Board of Solano County. So ordered by a vote of 5-0.

ADJOURN - This meeting of the Board of Supervisors adjourned in memory of Lena Emigh, Eddie Muzzio IV, Karly Muzzio, Daniel Robeski, Fred Faucett, and Betty Wright at 6:20 p.m.

William J. Carroll, Chairman

Maggie Jimenez

Clerk to the Board of Supervisors