

## Memorandum

To: Charity Crawford, Middle Green Valley Landowners

From: DPFG

Date: March 23, 2022

Subject: Public Services Financing Plan for Middle Green Valley Specific Plan (Solano County)

Per the request of the Middle Green Valley Landowners ("Landowners"), we have prepared this memo to provide a preliminary description of possible services providers and related financing mechanisms to provide public services to the Middle Green Valley Specific Plan ("Project") within Solano County ("County").

An illustrative matrix of the proposed improvements, services and related public or private agencies, financing mechanisms, and service funding in seen in the attached **Exhibit A** and described below.

# **Infrastructure Financing Mechanisms**

The Project's infrastructure would be funded through a combination of developer funds, local agency impact fee programs, and potential public financing programs such as a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District ("CFD") or an assessment district.

# **Funding for Public and Private Services**

Funding sources for public services may include some of the following: user rates directly charged by the local agency or utility, a portion of the County's 1% property tax collection, service CFDs, and maintenance assessment districts. A detailed Fiscal Impact Analysis has been attached as **Exhibit B**.

It is anticipated that a Project-wide Homeowner's Association ("Master HOA") be formed to provided funding and services related to Project-wide amenities.

## **Transportation and Roadways**

The Project's in-tract streets and roads will be within the public right-of-way and be maintained by the County. Construction of public roadway facilities could be financed through a CFD or assessment district. A detailed Fiscal Impact Analysis has been attached as **Exhibit B**.

There may be private streets, such as alleys, that would be maintained by a HOA.

# **Water Distribution Facilities**

The Project may be served two potential water purveyors: Solano Irrigation District ("SID") or The City of Vallejo Water Department. SID already has jurisdiction over the central part of the Project and would be the default water purveyor for the Project. There is also a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement between the City of Vallejo and Solano County to potentially allow the Project to connect to the City of Vallejo's water distribution infrastructure to provide water service to the Project.

In either case, public water facilities may be financed through a CFD or assessment district. It would be expected that future service costs would be funded by service charges and/or property taxes and assessments levied by the ultimate water purveyor.

## **Sewer Facilities and Treatment**

Intract sewer facilities will be privately owned by the Master HOA and maintained through contracting with local plumbing service providers.

The Project's sewer system will be connected to the Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District for sewer treatment. Public infrastructure necessary for Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District may be financed through a CFD, assessment district, and payment of impact fees at the time of development.

## **Parks and Recreation**

Neighborhood parks within the Project will by privately owned and maintained by the Master HOA. Developer funds would be the only source of infrastructure funding as the parks are anticipated to be private improvements.

## **Fire Protection Services & Facilities**

The Cordelia Fire Protection District ("CFPD") would provide fire and emergency medical services to the Project. CFPD already provides services to the existing area.

CFPD services most of its funding from three major sources: (i) special parcel taxes (Measure I) passed by resident voters in November 2002, (ii) property taxes, and (iii) fees for services, interest income, and miscellaneous revenue.

## **Police Protection Services**

The Project would be within the jurisdiction of the Solano County Sheriff's Office to provide police protection services. A detailed Fiscal Impact Analysis has been attached as **Exhibit B**.

The primary funding source for the Sheriff's Office is the County's general fund contribution and revenue generated by the Proposition 172 Public Safety Tax, a one-half cent sales tax.

### **Solid Waste Disposal Services**

Republic Services & Solano Garbage Company typically provide solid waste disposal services to unincorporated areas of the County near the City of Fairfield. These services would be funded by user rates charged for services.

# **School Facilities and Services**

The Project is within the boundaries of the Fairfield-Suisun Elementary School District ("School District").

School District facilities are funded through a combination of developer impact fees, voter approved general obligation bonds, and grants allocated by the State of California.

School District operations are generally funded by a portion of the property taxes collected by the County.

# Exhibit A (Infrastructure and Services Financing Matrix)

The attached **Exhibit A** summarizes the types of infrastructure and services that will be required for the development of the Project including anticipated related agencies, jurisdictions, infrastructure financing sources are service funding sources.

# **Exhibit B (Fiscal Impact Analysis)**

The attached **Exhibit B** calculates the impact the Project will have on the revenues and expenditures of the County's General Fund and Road Fund. The analysis uses both the case study and per person

service/capita methodology to estimate these impacts using the most generally accepted assumptions. The Landowner's team is supplying this information at the request of the County in order to demonstrate that at build out of the Project, the Project generates a surplus of funding of \$1.1 million annually. Therefore, no additional funding is necessary from the County to support the Project.

**Exhibit A** 

# Middle Green Valley Specific Plan (Solano County) Infrastructure and Services Financing Matrix

Improvements / Services	Agency/Jurisdiction	Public or Private Improvements/Services	Infrastructure Financing	Service Funding
Streets (Intract Improvements)	Solano County	Public	Community Facilities District/ Assessment District & Impact Fees	Property Tax
Streets (Private Streets & Alleys)	Homeowner's Association (HOA)	Private	Developer Funds	HOA Fees
Water	Water City of Vallejo (Water Department) or Solano Irrigation District		Community Facilities District/ Assessment District & Impact Fees	User Rates
Sewer Treatment	ver Treatment Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District		Community Facilities District/ Assessment District & Impact Fees	User Rates
Sewer (Intract Improvements)	Homeowner's Association (HOA)	Private	Developer Funds	User Rates
Parks	Homeowner's Association (HOA)	Private	Developer Funds	HOA Fees
Schools	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	Public	Developer Impact Fees, School Bonds (Property Taxes), & State Funds	Property Tax
Fire Protection	Cordelia Fire Protection District	Public		Property Taxes & Measure I Parcel Taxes
Police Protection	Solano County (Sheriff's Office)	Public	County Facilities Impact Fee	Property Tax
Gas/Electric	Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)	Private	Developer Funds and PG&E Fees	User Rates
Solid Waste	Solano Garbage Company (Republic Services)	Public (Contracted)		User Rates

Prepared by DPFG 3/22/2022

# Exhibit B TABLE 1 Middle Green Valley - Build Out Estimated General Fund & Street Fund Fiscal Impacts (2021\$)

1	+~	

Item				
General Fund Revenues	A	Amount	Percent of Total	
Property Taxes	_	1,060,591	64.3%	
Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF		315,810	19.1%	
Sales Taxes		140,275	8.5%	
Prop. 172 Sales Tax		35,069	2.1%	
Document Transfer Tax		34,320	2.1%	
Revenue from Use of Money/Prop		3,967	0.2%	
Intergovernmental Revenues		17,761	1.1%	
Charges for Services		23,254	1.4%	
Misc Revenues		19,304	<u>1.2%</u>	
Subtotal General Fund Revenues		1,650,352	100%	
Road Funds (Highway Users Tax) Revenue		21,847		
Total General Fund and Road Fund Revenues	\$	1,672,199		
General Fund Expenditures	<u> </u>	Amount	Percent of Total	
General Government		50,510	9.85%	
Health & Sanitation		50,560	9.86%	
Public Protection		327,993	63.94%	
Public Assistance		41,844	8.16%	
Education & Recreation		12,059	2.35%	
Contingency		30,034	<u>5.85%</u>	
Subtotal General Fund Expenditures		513,001	100%	
Total Road Fund Expenditures		64,954		
Total General Fund and Road Fund Expenditures	\$	577,954		
General Fund Operating Surp	olus/(Deficit) \$	1,137,351		
Road Funds				
Road Fund Revenue	\$	21,847		
Road Fund Expenditure		64,954		
Road Fund Operating Surp	olus/(Deficit) \$	(43,107)		
Combined General Fund and Road Fund Surp	olus/(Deficit) \$	1,094,245		

## Exhibit B TABLE 2 - LAND USE INFORMATION AND PROPERTY TAX CALCULATIONS Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

Product				Unsecured Property		Build Out Units	Price Per Unit			Total Valuation
	1,616			· oporty		5.110				
Residential Meadow						43	\$	1,200,000	\$	51,600,000
Farmstead Bungalow						104 167		1,000,000 800,000		104,000,000 133,600,000
Courtyard						76		600,000		45,600,000
						390			\$	334,800,000
						Build Out Building Sq. Ft.	Р	rice Per Sq. Ft.		Total Valuation
Non-Residential						- Oq. 1 t.		100 1 01 04.1 1.		Total Valuation
Retail Buildings Industrial Buildings			\$ \$		-	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
Office Buildings			\$		-	-	\$	-	\$	-
		-							\$	-
Total Project Valuation								•	\$	334,800,000
Estimated Annual Property Tax Calculation										4.000
Basic Rate Residential Secured Property Tax									\$	1.00% 3,348,000
Non-Residential Secured Property Tax Total									\$	3,348,000
Estimated Property Tax Allocation										
Residential Secured Property Tax Allocated to Solano County Non-Residential Secured Property Tax Allocated to Solano County	]	1] 1]						31.7% 31.7%	\$	1,060,591
Total Secured Property Tax Allocated to Solano County								•	\$	1,060,591
Unsecured Property Tax (@1% of Residential Secured Property Tax) Unsecured Property Tax (@10% of Non-Residential Secured Property Tax)								1.00% 10.00%		10,606
Total Unsecured Property Tax								10.00%	\$	10,606
Total Persons Served by Development										
Persons per Household /(b) Meadow										2.97
Farmstead										2.97
Bungalow Courtyard										2.97 2.97
Number of Households										
Meadow										43
Farmstead Bungalow										104 167
Courtyard								•		76
Residents										1,158
Employees (50% of one resident) Total Persons										1,158
Estimated Document Transfer Tax  Rural Farm Residential Turnover Rate	r	21								10.00%
Rural Meadow Residential Turnover Rate	[:	2] 2]								10.00%
Rural Neighborhood Residential Turnover Rate Rural Mixed-Use Residential Turnover Rate	[:	2] 2]								10.00% 5.00%
Non-Residential Turnover Rate	[	-1 2]								5.00%
Rural Farm Residential Assessed Valuation										51,600,000
Rural Meadow Residential Assessed Valuation Rural Neighborhood Residential Assessed Valuation										104,000,000 133,600,000
Rural Mixed-Use Residential Assessed Valuation										45,600,000
Non-Residential Assessed Valuation Amount								•	\$	31,200,000
Rate per \$1,000 of Assessed Value Total Estimated Document Transfer Tax								•	\$	0.1100% <b>34,320</b>
Estimated Property Tax in Lieu of VLF (PTILVLF)		21							æ	60 600 504 504
FY 21-22 Solano County Assessed Valuation Assessed Value of Project	[:	p]							\$	62,693,504,984 334,800,000
Total Assessed Value								•	\$	63,028,304,984
Percent Change in AV Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF	\$ 59	,137,560							•	0.53% <b>315,810</b>
Froperty Tax III-Lieu of VLF	<b>\$</b> 55	, 137,560							\$	ა15,810

- Footnotes:
  [1] Pursuant to the Solano County Tax Rate Area and ERAF shift.
  [2] Assumes single family residential property is sold once every 10 years, and multi-family and non-residential property is sold once every 20 years.
  [3] Total FY 2021-22 secured and unsecured assessed value for Solano County.

# Exhibit B TABLE 3 - GENERAL FUND REVENUES Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

	Reference Table	Estimating Procedure	Service Population	Revenue Multiplier	Annual Revenue at Buildout
General Fund Revenues					
Property Taxes	Table 2	Case Study	-	-	1,060,59
Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF	Table 2	Case Study	-	-	315,81
Sales Taxes	Table 9	Case Study	-	-	140,27
Prop. 172 Sales Tax	Table 9	Case Study	-	-	35,06
Document Transfer Tax	Table 2	Case Study	-	-	34,32
Revenue from Use of Money/Prop	Table 9	Persons Served	1,158	3.43	3,96
Intergovernmental Revenues	Table 9	Persons Served	1,158	15.33	17,76
Charges for Services	Table 9	Persons Served	1,158	20.08	23,25
Misc Revenues	Table 9	Persons Served	1,158	16.67	19,30
				-	\$ 1,650,35
Road Funds (Highway Users Tax) Revenue	Table 9	Persons Served	1,158	\$ 18.86	\$ 21,84

# Exhibit B TABLE 4 - GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES CALCULATIONS Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

	Reference Table	Estimating Procedure	Service Population	Revenue Multiplier	Annual Expenditures at Buildout
General Fund Expenditures					
General Government	Table 7	per person served	1,158	\$ 43.61	50,510
Health & Sanitation	Table 7	per capital	1,158	43.65	50,560
Public Protection	Table 7	per person served	1,158	283.17	327,993
Public Assistance	Table 7	per capital	1,158	36.12	41,844
Education & Recreation	Table 7	per person served	1,158	10.41	12,059
Contingency	Table 7	per person served	1,158	25.93	30,034
Total General Fund Expenditures					\$ 513,001
Total Road Fund Expenditures	Table 7	per person served	1,158	\$ 56.08	\$ 64,954

# Exhibit B

# Table 5 - Residential and Non-Residential Land Uses Summary Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

Product Meadow	Acres	Units 43	Unsecured Property	Estimated Market Value Per Unit [1] \$ 1,200,000	Estimated Secured Assessed Valuation	Estimated Total Valuation \$ 51,600,000
Farmstead	39	104	_	1,000,000	• -	104,000,000
Bungalow	56	167	_	800,000	_	133,600,000
Courtyard	15	76	_	600,000	-	45,600,000
Total Residential Land Uses	249	390		,		\$ 334,800,000
Product	Mix	Building Sq. Ft.	Unsecured Property	Estimated Market Value Per Sq. Ft. [1]	Estimated Secured Assessed Valuation	Estimated Total Valuation
Commercial			1			
Retail Buildings	0%	-	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Industrial Buildings	0%	-	-	-	-	-
Office Buildings	0%	-	-	-	-	-
Total Commercial Land Uses		-				\$ -
				TOTAL		\$ 334,800,000
Source:						
[1] Estimated by DPFG						

Solano County	2021
Population	438,527
Employment	202,800
Persons Served	539 927

Source:
Population: Solano County FY 2021/22 Adopted Budget

Employment: Solano County 2020 Index of Economic and Community Progress (May 2021)

Note: employees equal half of a resident

Persons per Household			Persons Served
Meadow	2.97	43	128
Farmstead	2.97	104	309
Bungalow	2.97	167	496
Courtyard	2.97	76	226
Retail Buildings	-	-	-
Industrial Buildings	-	-	-
Office Buildings	-	-	-
· ·			1,158

Per the Solano County Middledle Green Valley Specific Plan Draft EIR 2009.

Turnover Rate Single Family 10% Multi-Family 5% Non-Residential 5%

Source:
Sales Tax Capture Rate, Percentage of Non-Residential Taxable Sales based upon Regional Demand: Table 2 of the Solano County Fiscal Impact Analysis - Revenue Assumptions Case Study. Turnover Rate: Table 1 Solano County Fiscal Impact Analysis - General Assumptions.

Inflation Rate and Home Price Appreciation: Table 1 Solano County Fiscal Impact Analysis - General Assumptions.

Exhibit B
Table 6 - Property Tax Allocation Factors
Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

		TRA 060-024		
	Acres	249	ERAF	Solano
	% of Total Acreage	100.00%	Shift	County
Property Tax Fund				
General County		0.402071	0.364283	0.255604
County Free Library		0.035205	0.172192	0.029143
ACC Cap Outlay		0.010357		
Mosquito Abatement District		0.007534		
Aviation		0.001528		
Recreation		0.002598	0.360781	0.001661
Solano County Water Agency		0.019602		
Lib Spec Tax Zone 1		0.015721	0.336631	0.010429
BAAQMD		0.002816		
Solano Irrigation Dist		0.028558		
Special Road		0.033151	0.398287	0.019947
Cordelia Fire		0.049312		
Solano Cemetery District		0.018061		
Co Supt-Co Sch Ser Fund Sup		0.013159		
Co Supt-Development Center		0.002784		
Solano Community Col M&O		0.035398		
F-S Unif Schl Dist M&O		0.316465		
Co Supt-Co Sch Ser Fund Supp		0.001397		
Co Supt-Board of Education		0.004283		
Total		1.000000		

# Property Tax Redistributed to the Solano County General Fund

0.3168

## Footnotes:

<sup>(</sup>a) The reallocation of property taxes away from counties, cities, and other agencies to the Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) is based on certain formulas; the allocations to the various funds shown in the table represent allocations after ERAF

# Exhibit B Table 7 - Expenditure Estimating Procedures (2021\$) Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

Item	Estimating Procedure	Annual General Fund Expenditures	ı	Offsetting Revenues [1]		Net City Cost	Service Population	Pe	vg. Cost er Person Served	Adjustment Factor [2]		penditure er Person
General Fund Expenditures												
General Government												
BOS - District 1	per person served	\$ 620,294	\$	-	\$	620,294	539,927	\$	1.15	0.75	\$	0.86
BOS - District 2	per person served	587,434		-		587,434	539,927		1.09	0.75		0.82
BOS - District 3	per person served	653,000		-		653,000	539,927		1.21	0.75		0.91
BOS - District 4	per person served	624,267		-		624,267	539,927		1.16	0.75		0.87
BOS - District 5	per person served	601,794		-		601,794	539,927		1.11	0.75		0.84
BOS - Administration	per person served	286,814		-		286,814	539,927		0.53	0.75		0.40
Administration	per person served	5,248,664		3,202,408		2,046,256	539,927		3.79	0.75		2.84
General Revenue	per person served	600,000		-		600,000	539,927		1.11	0.75		0.83
Employee Development & Training	per person served	777,191		628,815		148,376	539,927		0.27	0.75		0.21
Delta Water Activities	per person served	1,299,696		334,425		965,271	539,927		1.79	0.75		1.34
Assessor	per person served	8,942,744		4,575,877		4,366,867	539,927		8.09	0.75		6.07
Auditor-Controller	per person served	6,217,768		5,377,833		839,935	539,927		1.56	0.75		1.17
Tax Collector/County Clerk	per person served	2,818,273		1,806,949		1,011,324	539,927		1.87	0.75		1.40
Treasurer	per person served	1,155,891		1,155,891		-	539,927		-	0.75		-
County Counsel	per person served	5,387,893		3,945,534		1,442,359	539,927		2.67	0.75		2.00
Human Resources	per person served	4,847,267		4,370,118		477,149	539,927		0.88	0.75		0.66
Registrar of Voters	per capita	8,655,282		165,500		8,489,782	438,527		19.36	0.75		14.52
Real Estate Services	per person served	990,747		1,054,735		(63,988)	539,927		(0.12)			(0.09
Promotion	per person served	155,515		1,004,700	\$	155,515	539,927		0.29	0.75		0.22
General Services	per person served	24,315,112		18,827,486	φ	5,487,626	539,927		10.16	0.75		7.62
Survey/Engineer	per person served	140,957		51,000		89,957	539,927		0.17	0.75		0.12
General Fund-Other	per person served	140,937		31,000		09,937	339,921		- 0.17	0.75		0.12
Subtotal General Government	-	\$ 74,926,603	\$	45,496,571	\$	29,430,032	-		-	0.75	\$	43.61
Health & Sanitation												
In Home Supp Svcs-Public Auth	per capital	\$ 19,956,210	\$	11,883,571	\$	8,072,639	438,527	\$	18.41	1.00	\$	18.41
Behavioral Health	per capital	110,985,167		104,531,493		6,453,674	438,527		14.72	1.00		14.72
Health Services	per capital	60,453,842		55,838,203		4,615,639	438,527		10.53	1.00		10.53
Subtotal Health & Sanitation		\$ 191,395,219	\$	172,253,267	\$	19,141,952	•				\$	43.65
Public Protection												
Grand Jury	per person served	\$ 132,964	\$	-	\$	132,964	539,927	\$	0.25	1.00	\$	0.25
District Attorney	per person served	33,471,913		14,157,951		19,313,962	539,927		35.77	1.00		35.77
Public Defender	per person served	17,459,558		1,214,380		16,245,178	539,927		30.09	1.00		30.09
Alternate Public Defender	per person served	5,624,560		161,157		5,463,403	539,927		10.12	1.00		10.12
Other Public Defense	per person served	3,790,737		-		3,790,737	539,927		7.02	1.00		7.02
Sheriff Public Safety/Patrol	per person served	133,645,784		59,195,371		74,450,413	539,927		137.89	1.00		137.89
Probation	per person served	48,217,447		23,003,885		25,213,562	539,927		46.70	1.00		46.70
Agricultural Commissioner	per person served	4,987,570		2,234,889		2,752,681	539,927		5.10	1.00		5.10
Animal Care Services	per person served	4,772,169		4,044,970		727,199	539,927		1.35	1.00		1.35
Recorder	per person served	2,137,667		2,518,500		(380,833)	539,927		(0.71)	1.00		(0.71
Resource Management	per person served	14,854,338		10,394,891		4,459,447	539,927		8.26	1.00		8.26
Office of Family Violence Prev	per person served	983,270		262,255		721,015	539,927		1.34	1.00		1.34
Subtotal Public Protection		\$ 270,077,977	\$	117,188,249	\$	152,889,728	, .				\$	283.17
Public Assistance												
Administration Division	per capital	\$ 3,907,647	\$	2,468,877	\$	1,438,770	438,527	\$	3.28	1.00	\$	3.28
Social Services Department	per capital	124,278,650		116,359,027		7,919,623	438,527		18.06	1.00		18.06
Assistance Programs	per capital	58,632,254		52,785,545		5,846,709	438,527		13.33	1.00		13.33
Ind Burial Vets Cem Care	per capital	36,704		8,500		28,204	438,527		0.06	1.00		0.06
Veterans Service	per capital	938,462		330,000		608,462	438,527		1.39	1.00		1.39
Subtotal Public Assistance		\$ 187,793,717	\$	171,951,949	\$	15,841,768					\$	36.12
Education & Recreation												
Library	per person served	\$ 28,753,668	\$	24,030,484	\$	4,723,184	539,927	\$	8.75	1.00	\$	8.75
Cooperative Ext Svce	per person served	329,513		-		329,513	539,927		0.61	1.00		0.61
Parks & Recreation	per person served	2,065,943		1,497,409		568,534	539,927		1.05	1.00		1.05
Subtotal Education & Recreation		\$ 31,149,124	\$	25,527,893	\$	5,621,231						10.41
Contingency											_	
Contingency Subtotal Contingency	per person served	\$ 14,000,000 <b>\$ 14,000,000.00</b>	\$	-	\$ <b>\$</b>	14,000,000 <b>14,000,000.00</b>	539,927	\$	25.93	1.00	\$ <b>\$</b>	25.93 <b>25.93</b>
Total General Fund Expenditures		\$ 769,342,640	\$	532,417,929	\$	236,924,711					\$	442.89
Road Fund	nor norean contail	¢ 30.077.359			\$	30 077 050	520 027	¢	EC 00	1.00	\$	EG 00
Roads Total Road Fund	per person served	\$ 30,277,353 \$ 30,277,353.00	\$	-	\$	30,277,353 <b>30,277,353.00</b>	539,927	ф	56.08	1.00	\$ <b>\$</b>	56.08 <b>56.08</b>
Total Road Pund		Ψ 30,211,353.00	ą	-	Þ	30,211,353.00					φ	

Source: Solano County Budget FY 2021-22 Annual Budget.

Footnotes:
[1] Represents General Fund departmental revenues identified for specific General Fund department functions in the County's fiscal budget

<sup>[2]</sup> This analysis applies an efficiency factor of 75% to the general governmental expenditure multipliers. This factor assumes that economies of scale are realized within General Government department functions that lessen the incremental costs of serving new growth (residents and persons served).

Exhibit B

Table 8 - Revenue Estimating Procedures (2021\$)

Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

Item	Estimating Procedure	nual Budget Revenues	Offsetting Revenues	Net Annual Revenues	Service Population	evenue ultiplier
General Fund						
Property Taxes: Secured	Case Study	93,922,305	-	93,922,305	N/A	-
Property Taxes: Unsecured	Case Study	2,995,000	-	2,995,000	N/A	-
Property Transfer Tax	Case Study	3,500,000	-	3,500,000	N/A	-
Sales & Use Taxes	Case Study	2,650,000	-	2,650,000	N/A	-
Public Safety Sales Tax	Case Study	43,893,049	-	43,893,049	N/A	-
Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF	Case Study	59,137,560	-	59,137,560	N/A	-
Licenses, Permits, & Franchises	[2]	8,578,762	8,578,762	-	N/A	-
Fines, Forfeitures, & Penalty	[2]	946,600	946,600	-	N/A	-
Revenue from Use of Money/Prop	Persons Served	1,849,314	-	1,849,314	539,927	3.43
Intergovernmental Revenues	Persons Served	8,279,195	-	8,279,195	539,927	15.33
Charges for Services	[3]	54,198,757	43,359,006	10,839,751	539,927	20.08
Misc Revenues [1]	Persons Served	8,998,242	-	8,998,242	539,927	16.67
Total General Fund Operating Revenues	•	\$ 288,948,784	\$ 52,884,368	\$ 236,064,416		\$ 55.50
Road Funds						
Highway User Tax	Persons Served	10,183,712	-	10,183,712	539,927	18.86
Total Road Funds		\$ 10,183,712	\$ -	\$ 10,183,712		\$ 18.86

Source: Solano County Budget FY 2021-22 Annual Budget

## Footnotes:

<sup>[1]</sup> Includes Miscellaneous Revenues, Penalties, Unitary (Business License), Transient Occupany Tax, & Other Financing Sources.

<sup>[2]</sup> This analysis assumes that all of these revenues are fully dedicated to specific General Fund departmental costs (Offsetting Revenues). Since these revenues are budget neutral and are not discretionary, they are not included in estimating discretionary Project revenues.

<sup>[3]</sup> This analysis assumes that 80% of these revenues are fully dedicated to specific General Fund departmental costs (Offsetting Revenues). Since these revenues are budget neutral and are not discretionary, they are not included in estimating discretionary Project revenues.

# Exhibit B Table 9 - Sales Tax Calculations Middle Green Valley Fiscal Impact Analysis

	Taxable		Total Taxable Spending
Land Use	Spending		
	per Household <sup>1</sup>	Units	
Residential			
Meadow	\$71,825	43	\$3,088,495
Farmstead	\$59,855	104	\$6,224,874
Bungalow	\$47,884	167	\$7,996,568
Courtyard	\$35,913	76	\$2,729,368
		390	\$20,039,305
Nonresidential			
Retail Buildings	\$0	0	\$0
Industrial Building	\$0	0	\$0
Industrial Buildings	\$0	0	\$0
		0	\$0
			\$20,039,305
Leakage (30%)			\$6,011,791
Solano County Capture (70%)			\$14,027,513
Solano County General Fund Sales & Use Tax Revenues:			
Solano County Taxable Spending Capture			\$14,027,513
County Share of Sales Tax		1.00%	\$140,275
Project Derived Sales Tax Revenue to County		-	\$140,275
		=	
Prop. 172 Sales Tax		0.25%	\$35,069
		% of	
Voter Approved/Other Economic Benefits		Taxable Sales	Total
N/A (Measure B expires 21/22)		0.00%	\$0

# Footnotes:

Assumes total taxable sales per household is equal to 24.1% of estimated household income. Estimated household income is calculated using home price by assuming 20% down, 5.5%/30 year mortgage, and 2% annual taxes. Home expense is assumed to be 30% of annual household income.